Hacet. J. Math. Stat. Volume 53(1) (2024), 53-61 DOI: 10.15672/hujms.1180981

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Notes on some classes of spirallike functions associated with the *q*-integral operator

Tuğba Yavuz<sup>®</sup>, Şahsene Altınkaya\*<sup>®</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Istanbul Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey

## Abstract

The object of the present paper is to find the essential properties for certain subfamilies of analytic and spirallike functions which are generated by q-integral operator. Further, we derive membership relations for functions belong to these subfamilies, and also we determine coefficient estimates.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 30C45, 05A30

**Keywords.** spirallike function, convolution, q-integral operator

## 1. Introduction

Stand by  $\mathbb A$  the family of functions  $f(\zeta)=\zeta+\sum_{k=2}^\infty a_k\zeta^k$  analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathcal D=\{\zeta\in\mathbb C:|\zeta|<1\}$  with f(0)=0=f'(0)-1. A function  $f\in\mathbb A$  is named univalent in  $\mathcal D$  provided that it does not take the same value twice. Stand by  $\mathbb S$  the subfamily of  $\mathbb A$  involving univalent functions. Further, for the function g with the Taylor series  $g(\zeta)=\zeta+b_2\zeta^2+\cdots=\zeta+\sum_{k=2}^\infty b_k\zeta^k$ , the convolution f\*g is expressed by

$$(f * g)(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k \zeta^k.$$

On the other hand, for analytic functions f and g in  $\mathcal{D}$ , we ensure that f is subordinate to g, expressed by  $f \prec g$ , for a Schwarz function  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda(0) = 0, |\Lambda(\zeta)| < 1$  and  $f(\zeta) = g(\Lambda(\zeta))$  ( $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}$ ).

Now, we shall deal with a subfamily of S which is of special interest in its own right, namely the spirallike functions.

For  $-\infty < t < \infty$  and  $\vartheta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ , the logarithmic  $\vartheta$ -spiral curve is expressed by  $w = w_0 \exp(-e^{-i\vartheta}t)$ , where  $w_0$  is a nonzero complex number. We must mention here that 0-spirals are radial half-lines. For an analytic function, we can call it  $\vartheta$ -spirallike provided that its range is  $\vartheta$ -spirallike. Stand by  $S_{\vartheta}$  the family of  $\vartheta$ -spirallike functions. Analytically,  $f \in \mathbb{A}$  belongs to the family  $S_{\vartheta}$  iff  $\Re\left(e^{i\vartheta}\frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)}\right) > 0$  [18].

Email addresses: tugbayavuz@beykent.edu.tr (T. Yavuz), sahsenealtinkaya@beykent.edu.tr (Ş. Altınkaya)

Received: 27.09.2022; Accepted: 10.02.2023

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author.

The study of q-calculus in Geometric Function Theory was partially provided by Srivastava [19]. This application is still among the most popular subject of many mathematicians today [1–4,6,8,12,14,15,20]. In the course of the paper, suppose 0 < q < 1 and the definitions deal with the complex-valued function f.

The q-derivative of f is expressed by [9]:

$$D_q f(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(q\zeta)}{(1-q)\zeta}, & \zeta \neq 0\\ f'(0), & \zeta = 0 \end{cases}.$$

When f is differentiable at  $\zeta$ , we arrive  $\lim_{q\to 1^-} D_q f(\zeta) = f'(\zeta)$ . The q-integral of f is expressed by [10]:

$$\int_0^{\zeta} f(u)d_q u = \zeta(1-q)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(\zeta q^k),$$

provided the series converges.

Next, the q-gamma function is expressed by

$$\Gamma_q(u) = (1-q)^{1-u} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k+1}}{1-q^{k+u}} \ (u>0)$$

with

$$\Gamma_q(u+1) = [u]_q \Gamma_q(u), \quad \Gamma_q(u+1) = [u]_q!,$$
(1.1)

where  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$[u]_q! = \begin{cases} [u]_q[u-1]_q \dots [2]_q[1]_q, & u \ge 1\\ 1, & u = 0. \end{cases}$$

If we set  $q \to 1^-$ , we find  $\Gamma_q(u) \to \Gamma(u)$  [9]

The q-beta function

$$B_q(u,s) = \int_0^1 \zeta^{u-1} (1 - q\zeta)_q^{s-1} d_q \zeta, \quad (u,s > 0)$$
 (1.2)

is the q-analogue of Euler's formula [10] with

$$B_q(u,s) = \frac{\Gamma_q(u)\Gamma_q(s)}{\Gamma_q(u+s)}. (1.3)$$

Next, the q-binomial coefficients are expressed by [7]

$$\binom{k}{n}_{q} = \frac{[k]_{q}!}{[n]_{q}![k-n]_{q}!}.$$
(1.4)

In a recent study [11], the generalized q-integral operator  $\chi^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}: \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$  is expressed by

$$\chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}f(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha+\beta \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_{q} \frac{[\alpha]_{q}}{\zeta^{\beta}} \int_{0}^{\zeta} \left(1 - \frac{qu}{\zeta}\right)_{q}^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta-1} f(u) d_{q} u \quad (\alpha > 0, \beta > -1).$$

From (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), they arrive

$$\chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} a_k \zeta^k.$$

For some special values, we find the following integral operators previously known.

(i) If  $\alpha = 1$ , the q-Bernardi integral operator  $J_{\beta,q}f$  is obtained [13]

$$J_{\beta,q}f(\zeta) = \frac{[1+\beta]_q}{\zeta^{\beta}} \int_0^{\zeta} u^{\beta-1} f(u) d_q u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\beta]_q}{[k+\beta]_q} a_k \zeta^k.$$

(ii) If  $\alpha = 1, q \to 1^-$ , the Bernardi integral operator is obtained [5]

$$J_{\beta}f(\zeta) = \frac{1+\beta}{\zeta^{\beta}} \int_0^{\zeta} u^{\beta-1} f(u) du = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\beta}{k+\beta} a_k \zeta^k.$$

(iii) If  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $q \to 1^-$ , the Alexander integral operator is obtained [16]

$$J_0 f(\zeta) = \int_0^{\zeta} \frac{f(u)}{u} du = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} a_k \zeta^k.$$

Now, we introduce the new subfamilies  $S_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B]$  and  $K_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B]$  of analytic functions:

$$S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}\left[A,B\right] = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{S} : e^{i\vartheta} \frac{\zeta \left(\chi^{\alpha}_{\beta,q} f(\zeta)\right)'}{\chi^{\alpha}_{\beta,q} f(\zeta)} \prec \cos\vartheta \left(\frac{1+A\zeta}{1+B\zeta}\right) + i\sin\vartheta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D} \right\}$$

and

$$K^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}\left[A,B\right] = \left\{f \in \mathbb{S} : e^{i\vartheta} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta \left(\chi^{\alpha}_{\beta,q} f(\zeta)\right)''}{\left(\chi^{\alpha}_{\beta,q} f(\zeta)\right)'}\right) \prec \cos\vartheta\left(\frac{1 + A\zeta}{1 + B\zeta}\right) + i\sin\vartheta, \zeta \in \mathcal{D}\right\},\,$$

where  $|\vartheta| < \frac{\pi}{2}, -1 \le B < A \le 1$ .

We know that there is a relation between the families  $S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  and  $K^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  such as

$$f \in K_{\beta,a}^{\alpha}[A,B] \iff zf' \in S_{\beta,a}^{\alpha}[A,B].$$
 (1.5)

Note that

- 1) Letting  $q \to 1^-$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , we arrive the families  $S_{\beta}[A, B]$  and  $K_{\beta}[A, B]$  involving Bernardi integral operator given in (ii).
- 2) Letting  $q \to 1^-$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ , we arrive the families S[A, B] and K[A, B] involving Alexander integral operator given in (iii).

This paper deals with the new subfamilies  $S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  and  $K^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  of analytic functions involving a generalized q-integral operator and its several properties.

#### 2. Convolution properties

To present convolution properties, we express Lemma 2.1 due to Silverman and Silvia [17].

**Lemma 2.1.** The function f is in  $S^*[A, B]$  iff for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}$  and all  $\eta$ ,  $|\eta| = 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} \left[ f * \frac{\zeta + \frac{\eta - A}{A - B} \zeta^2}{(1 - \zeta)^2} \right] \neq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** The function f is in  $S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  iff for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}$  and all  $\eta$ ,  $|\eta| = 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} \left[ f * \left( \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\varepsilon)\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{(1-\varepsilon)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} \zeta^k \right) \right] \neq 0,$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{e^{i\vartheta} + (A\cos\vartheta + iB\sin\vartheta)\eta}{e^{i\vartheta}(1 + B\eta)}.$$
 (2.2)

**Proof.** An application of Lemma 2.1 exhibits that  $f \in S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  iff

$$e^{i\vartheta} \frac{\zeta \left(\chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta)\right)'}{\chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta)} \neq \cos\vartheta \left(\frac{1+A\eta}{1+B\eta}\right) + i\sin\vartheta$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \zeta \left( \chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta) \right)' - \chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta) \left( \frac{e^{i\vartheta} + (A\cos\vartheta + iB\sin\vartheta)\eta}{e^{i\vartheta}(1+B\eta)} \right) \neq 0 \quad (\zeta \in \mathcal{D}, \ |\eta| = 1). \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\zeta f' = f * \frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^2}, \qquad f = f * \frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta},$$

we arrive

$$\chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta) = f(\zeta) * h(\zeta) * \frac{\zeta}{1-\zeta}$$
$$\zeta \left(\chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta)\right)' = f(\zeta) * h(\zeta) * \frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^2},$$

where  $h(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} \zeta^k$ . By substituting  $\varepsilon$  given by (2.2), we find that the relation (2.3) is equivalent to

$$f(\zeta) * h(\zeta) * \left(\frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) \neq 0.$$
 (2.4)

On the other hand, by extensions of  $\frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^2}$  and  $\frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta)}$ , we find

$$\left(\frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon\zeta}{1-\zeta}\right) = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \zeta^k. \tag{2.5}$$

By substituting (2.5) in (2.4), the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.3.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the function f to be in  $S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$ 

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta\sin\vartheta) - (A - kB)\eta\cos\vartheta}{(A - B)\eta\cos\vartheta} \times \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} a_k \zeta^{k-1} \neq 0.$$

**Proof.** Notice that

$$\frac{k-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} = -\frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta\sin\vartheta) - (A-kB)\eta\cos\vartheta}{(A-B)\eta\cos\vartheta}.$$
 (2.6)

By using (2.6), we can write the relation (2.1) as

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} \left[ \zeta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta \sin \vartheta) - (A - kB)\eta \cos \vartheta}{(A - B)\eta \cos \vartheta} \times \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} a_k \zeta^k \right] \neq 0.$$
(2.7)

Simplifying relation (2.7), we obtain the desired condition.

**Lemma 2.4.** The function f is in  $K_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B]$  iff for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}$  and all  $\eta$ ,  $|\eta|=1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} \left[ f * \left( \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\varepsilon)\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{(1-\varepsilon)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} k \zeta^k \right) \right] \neq 0,$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{e^{i\vartheta} + (A\cos\vartheta + iB\sin\vartheta)\eta}{e^{i\vartheta}(1 + B\eta)}.$$

**Proof.** Set

$$f \prec h \Leftrightarrow f(0) = h(0), f(\mathcal{D}) \subset h(\mathcal{D}), \zeta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Note that

$$\zeta h'(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\varepsilon)\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{(1-\varepsilon)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} k\zeta^k.$$
 (2.8)

From the identity  $\zeta f * h = f * \zeta h'$  and the fact that

$$f \in K_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B] \Leftrightarrow \zeta f' \in S_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B],$$

from Lemma 2.1, we arrive

$$\frac{1}{\zeta}[\zeta f'(\zeta) * h(\zeta)] \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\zeta}[f(\zeta) * \zeta h'(\zeta)] \neq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By substituting relation (2.8) in (2.9), we have the desired result.

**Theorem 2.5.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the function f to be in  $K_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B]$  is that

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta\sin\vartheta) - (A - kB)\eta\cos\vartheta}{(A - B)\eta\cos\vartheta} \times \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} ka_k \zeta^{k-1} \neq 0.$$

 ${\it Proof.}$  By using Lemma 2.2 and in a similar way of Theorem 2.3, we solve the theorem.

### 3. Coefficient estimates

In the following, as an application of previous theorems, we derive coefficient estimates and inclusion properties for a function to be in the families  $S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$  and  $K^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$ .

**Lemma 3.1** ([21]). Let the parameters A, B and  $\alpha$ , as well as the integer k, limited by  $-1 \le B < A \le 1, -\frac{\pi}{2} < \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  be fixed. Assume

$$[A - (k-1)B]^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + (k-2)^2 (B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 1) \ge 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)^2} \left[ (A-B)^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} \left| (A-nB)^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + (n-1)^2 \left( B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 1 \right) \right| \times \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\left| (A-B) e^{-i\vartheta} \cos \vartheta - jB \right|^2}{(j+1)^2} \right] = \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{\left| (A-B) e^{-i\vartheta} \cos \vartheta - jB \right|^2}{(j+1)^2}$$

We can express following coefficient estimates by using Lemma 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f \in S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$ . Assume

$$(A - (k - 1) B)^2 \cos^2 \vartheta \ge (k - 2)^2 (1 - B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta)$$

Then

$$|a_k| \le \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{\left| (A - B)\cos\vartheta e^{-i\vartheta} - Bj \right|}{j+1}.$$
 (3.1)

This result is sharp.

**Proof.** Let us put

$$I(\zeta) = \chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k \zeta^k,$$

where  $B_k = \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)}$ . Since  $f \in S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$ , there exists a function  $\Lambda(\zeta)$  such that

$$e^{i\vartheta}\sec\vartheta\frac{\zeta I'(\zeta)}{I(\zeta)} - i\tan\vartheta = \frac{1 + A\mathbf{\Lambda}(\zeta)}{1 + B\mathbf{\Lambda}(\zeta)}.$$

Then, we get

$$(1+i\tan\vartheta)\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-1)B_k\zeta^k = \left((A-B)\zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[A-kB-i(k-1)B\tan\vartheta]B_k\zeta^k\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}(\zeta).$$

The above equality may be rewritten (for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) as follows:

$$(1 + i \tan \vartheta) \sum_{k=2}^{m} (k-1) B_k \zeta^k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} d_k \zeta^k = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} [A - kB - i(k-1)B \tan \vartheta] B_k \zeta^k \right) \mathbf{\Lambda}(\zeta).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} d_k \zeta^k = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left[A - kB - i(k-1)B \tan \vartheta\right] B_k \zeta^k\right) \mathbf{\Lambda}(\zeta) - (k-1)\left(1 + i \tan \vartheta\right) \sum_{k=2}^{m} B_k \zeta^k$$

and both two sums on the RHS are convergent and the sum on the LHS is convergent in  $\mathcal{D}$  for  $k=2,3,\cdots$ . By appealing to Parseval's Theorem, we arrive

$$(k-1)^{2} |B_{k}|^{2} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \left\{ [A - mB]^{2} \cos^{2} \vartheta + \left( B^{2} \sin^{2} \vartheta - 1 \right) (m-1)^{2} \right\} |B_{m}|^{2}, \qquad (3.2)$$

where  $B_1 = 1$ .

We need to show the inequality given in (3.1) is true by using the principle of mathematical induction. Actually, we see that it holds for k=2, as

$$|B_2| \le (A - B)\cos \vartheta.$$

Suppose that inequality (3.1) holds true for k = m - 1 for some fixed m, it means that the inequality

$$|B_{m-1}| \le \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{\left| (A-B)\cos\vartheta e^{-i\vartheta} - Bj \right|}{(j+1)}$$
 (3.3)

holds true. Then, we have to show that (3.1) is true for k=m. It is easy to see this relation considering (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 3.1. After necessarily calculations, we have for k = m that

$$|B_m|^2 \le \frac{1}{(m-1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left\{ [A - kB]^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + \left( B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 1 \right) (k-1)^2 \right\} |B_k|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)^2} \left\{ (A-B)^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + \right.$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \left| [A - kB]^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + \left( B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 1 \right) (n-1)^2 \right| \left| B_k \right|^2 \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(m-1)^2} \left\{ (A-B)^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} \left| [A-kB]^2 \cos^2 \vartheta + (k-1)^2 \left( B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - 1 \right) \right| \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{\left| (A-B) \cos \vartheta e^{-i\vartheta} - Bj \right|}{(j+1)}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \frac{\left| (A-B) \cos \vartheta e^{-i\vartheta} - Bj \right|}{(j+1)}.$$

We see that (3.1) is true for every k. To examine the sharpness in (3.1), it is enough to consider the function

$$I(\zeta) = \chi_{\beta,q}^{\alpha} f(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{(1 + B\zeta)^{\frac{(B-A)e^{-i\vartheta}\cos\vartheta}{B}}}.$$

We can also prove the following theorem by using relation given in (1.5)

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f \in K_{\beta,q}^{\alpha}[A,B]$ . Assume

$$(A - (k - 1) B)^2 \cos^2 \vartheta \ge (k - 2)^2 (1 - B^2 \sin^2 \vartheta).$$

Then

$$|a_k| \le \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \frac{\left| (A - B)\cos\vartheta e^{-i\vartheta} - Bj \right|}{j+2}.$$

This is also sharp estimate.

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $f \in S^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$ , then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \left| \left( k(B+1) - 1 \right| + \left| A\cos\vartheta + iB\sin\vartheta \right| \right) \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} \left| a_k \right| \leq (A-B)\cos\vartheta,$$

**Proof.** Since

$$\begin{split} &\left|1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta\sin\vartheta) - (A - kB)\eta\cos\vartheta}{(A - B)\eta\cos\vartheta} \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} a_k\right| \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left|\frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta\sin\vartheta) - (A - kB)\eta\cos\vartheta}{(A - B)\eta\cos\vartheta}\right| \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta + k)\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha + \beta + k)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \left|a_k\right| \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\left| \frac{(k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\eta\sin\vartheta) - (A - kB)\eta\cos\vartheta}{(A - B)\eta\cos\vartheta} \right| = \frac{\left| (k-1)(e^{i\vartheta} + iB\sin\vartheta) - (A - kB)\cos\vartheta \right|}{(A - B)\cos\vartheta} \\ &\leq \frac{\left| (k(B+1) - 1) + \left| A\cos\vartheta + iB\sin\vartheta \right|}{(A - B)\cos\vartheta}, \end{split}$$

the outcome follows from Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $f \in K^{\alpha}_{\beta,q}[A,B]$ , then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \left| \left( k(B+1) - 1 \right| + \left| A\cos\vartheta + iB\sin\vartheta \right| \right) \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+\beta+k)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} k \left| a_k \right| \le (A-B)\cos\vartheta.$$

#### References

- [1] O. Ahuja and A. Çetinkaya, Use of quantum calculus approach in mathematical sciences and its role in geometric function theory, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2095 (1), 1-14, 2019.
- [2] O. Ahuja, A. Çetinkaya and N. K. Jain, Analytic functions with conic domains associated with certain generalized q-integral operator, arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.13776.
- [3] Ş. Altınkaya, On the inclusion properties for ϑ-spirallike functions involving both Mittag-Leffler and Wright function, Turkish J. Math. 46 (3), 1119-1131, 2022.
- [4] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Convolution properties for classes of bounded analytic functions with complex order defined by q-derivative operator, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM, 113 (2), 1279-1288, 2019.
- [5] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135, 429–446, 1969.
- [6] S. Bulut, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving the qderivative operator, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat. 66 (1), 108-114, 2017.
- [7] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [8] M. Govindaraj and S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving q-calculus, Anal. Math. 43 (3), 475-487, 2017.
- [9] F. H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Earth Environ. Sci. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. 46, 253–281, 1908.
- [10] F. H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Q. J. Pure Appl. Math. 14, 193-203, 1910.
- [11] S. Mahmood, N. Raza, E. S. A. Abujarad, G. Srivastava, H. M. Srivastava, S. N. Malik, Geometric properties of certain classes of analytic functions associated with q-integral operators, Symmetry, 11 (5), 1-14, 2019.
- [12] N. Mustafa and S. Korkmaz, The sharp inequality for the coefficients of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by q-derivative, Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research 7 (4), 209-218, 2020.
- [13] K. I. Noor, S. Riaz and M. A. Noor, On q-Bernardi integral operator, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math. 8 (1), 3–11, 2017.
- [14] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with fractional q-calculus operators, Math. Scand. 109 (1), 55-70, 2011.
- [15] M. Raza, H. M. Srivastava, M. Arif and K. Ahmad, Coefficient estimates for a certain family of analytic functions involving a q-derivative operator, Ramanujan J. 55 (1), 53-71, 2022.
- [16] Z. Shareef, S. Hussain and M. Darus, Convolution operators in the geometric function theory, J. Inequal. Appl. 2012 (213), 1-11, 2012.
- [17] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, Canad. J. Math. 1, 48-61, 1985.
- [18] L. Spacek, Contribution a la theorie des fonctions univalentes, Casopis Pro Pestovani Matematiky a Fysiky, 62, 12–19, 1933.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions, in Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications, (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors), Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q-) calculus and fractional q-calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. 44 (1), 327-344, 2020.

[21] Q. H. Xu, C. B. Lv, N. C. Luo and H. M. Srivastava, Sharp coefficient estimates for a certain general class of spirallike functions by means of differential subordination, Filomat, 27, 1351-1356, 2013.